1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	
	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)
2	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
3	Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)	Teuta Fani (admitted pro hac vice)
	sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com	teutafani@quinnemanuel.com
4	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
_	Redwood Shores, CA 94065	Chicago, IL 60606
5	Telephone: (650) 801-5000	Telephone: (312) 705-7400
6	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
7	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)
8	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)
	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
9	Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)	Carl Spilly (admitted pro hac vice)
10	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com	carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
11	Marie Hayrapetian (CA Bar No. 315797) mariehayrapetian@quinnemanuel.com	Washington D.C., 20005
11	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Telephone: (202) 538-8000
12	Los Angeles, CA 90017	Facsimile: (202) 538-8100
	Telephone: (213) 443-3000	14051111101 (202) 000 0100
13	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
14	Iomaira Crawford (admitted no has vias)	Ionathan Tea (CA Par No. 205469)
15	Jomaire Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468) jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
13	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	50 California Street, 22nd Floor
16	New York, NY 10010	San Francisco, CA 94111
1.7	Telephone: (212) 849-7000	Telephone: (415) 875-6600
17	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
18	Counsel for Defendant Google LLC	
19		DISTRICT COLIDT
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
22	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,	DECLARATION OF JONATHAN TSE IN
23	individually and on behalf of themselves and	SUPPORT OF GOOGLE LLC'S
	all others similarly situated,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
24	Dlaintiffa	GOOGLE'S OPPOSITION TO
25	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS
25	v.	CERTIFICATION
26	GOOGLELLG	
	GOOGLE LLC,	Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
27	Defendant.	
28		
_		

TSE DECLARATION ISO GOOGLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL GOOGLE OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

I, Jonathan Tse, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and an attorney with Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant, Google LLC ("Google") in this action. I make this declaration of my own personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, I submit this declaration in support of Google LLC's Administrative Motion To Seal Portions Of Google's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification ("Google's Opposition"). In making this request, Google has carefully considered the relevant legal standard and policy considerations outlined in Civil Local Rule 79-5. Google makes this request with the good faith belief that certain information sought to be sealed consists of Google's confidential information and that public disclosure could cause competitive harm.
- 3. The information requested to be sealed contains Google's non-public, sensitive confidential and proprietary business information that could affect Google's competitive standing and may expose Google to increased security risks if publicly disclosed, including details related to Google's internal projects, internal identifiers, data signals and logs, and their proprietary functionalities, as well as internal metrics, which Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google's competitors.
- 4. Such highly confidential information reveals Google's internal strategy and systems regarding various important products and nonpublic investigations thereto and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. *See* Dkt. 81 at 2-3. The redacted portions also contain, summarize or reflect material designated, Confidential or Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only Pursuant to Stipulated Protective Order.
- 5. Public disclosure of such highly confidential information could affect Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter their system designs and practices relating to competing products, time strategic litigation, focus their patent prosecution strategies, or otherwise unfairly compete with Google. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats,

1	as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google's internal systems and	
2	operations.	
3	6. For these reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court order the identified	
4	portions of Google's Opposition to be filed under seal.	
5	I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true	
6	and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California on August 5, 2022.	
7		
8	DATED: August 5, 2022 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
9	SULLIVAN, LLP	
10		
11	By /s/ Jonathan Tse	
12	Jonathan Tse Attorney for Defendant	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVI	